

2020 Annual report of Amundi Intermediation

on the top 5 counterparties/execution venues and execution quality

Subject:

In accordance with Article 3 (3) of Delegated Regulation 2017/576 of 08/06/2016 on the annual publication of information on the identity of execution platforms and the quality of execution, AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION as an Investment Company is required to publish annually, for each asset class, the ranking of its top five counterparties together with an analysis of the quality of execution obtained during the previous financial year. The classification of financial instruments presented below is taken from Appendix I of the Delegated Regulation 2017/576 of 08/06/2016.

Regulatory Framework

The Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID Directive 2014/65 / EU) and the MiFIR Regulation aim to revise the MiFID 1 Directive taking into consideration the new developments of the financial markets. The regime is primarily aimed at improving security, transparency and strengthening investors' protection.

Regulatory Reference:

- Directive MIFID 2 N ° 2014/65 / EU of the European Parliament and the Council of 15/05/14, Art. 24 (1) and 27
- Delegated Regulation N ° 2017/576 of 08/06/2016 supplementing the Directive by regulatory technical standards concerning markets for financial instruments.

Summary:

AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION transmits its orders directly to its counterparties, or executes them by placing in competition several potential counterparties. The orders are directed according to the best execution conditions offered, either to the Regulated Markets (MR), Swaps Execution Facilities (SEF), Multilateral Trading Systems (MTF), Organized Trading Systems (OTS), Systematic Internalisers (SI), or any counterparty offering the best quote likely to provide in a bilateral framework (OTC). AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION is not member of any market and therefore any conflict of interest in the use of the execution platforms is nullified.

AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION promotes the integrity of the market without one category of client being favoured over another in the event of execution of the same meaning on the same financial instrument on the same day, taking into account the criteria set out in its Selection Policy and Execution such as price, liquidity, speed, cost, etc according to their relative importance according to the different types of orders transmitted by the client.

For intermediaries or counterparties acting through various legal entities according to the instruments or jurisdictions, the tables present aggregated data.

Section I – Quantitative Evaluation as a Percentage of Total Volume and Total Number of Executions by Category of Financial Instruments

(a) Equities and equivalent instruments

Table 1 : Tick Size Liquidity Band 1 & 2

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	BNP PARIBAS (R0MUWSPU8MPRO8K5P83)	39.1%	2.1%	N.A	N.A	N.A
2	BOA - MERRILL LYNCH (GGDZP1UYGU9STUHRDP48)	15.6%	22.5%	N.A	N.A	N.A
3	CITIGROUP (XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493)	11.3%	20.3%	N.A	N.A	N.A
4	MORGAN STANLEY (4PQUHN3JPF3BB653)	7.20%	13.1%	N.A	N.A	N.A
5	GOLDMAN SACHS (W22LROWP2IHZNBB6K528)	5.9%	4.9%	N.A	N.A	N.A
TOP 5 Total		79,2%	62,9%	N.A	N.A	N.A

Table 2 : Tick Size Liquidity Band 3 & 4

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	EXANE (969500UP76J52A9OXU27)	16.4%	15.3%	N.A	N.A	N.A
2	MORGAN STANLEY (4PQUHN3JPF3BB653)	13.5%	9.7%	N.A	N.A	N.A
3	SOCIETE GENERALE (O2RNE8IBXP4R0TD8PU41)	10.8%	15.6%	N.A	N.A	N.A
4	BOA - MERRILL LYNCH (GGDZP1UYGU9STUHRDP48)	8.5%	8.6%	N.A	N.A	N.A
5	KEPLER – CHEUVREUX (549300WZUJONCM3K3B32)	7.8%	9.6%	N.A	N.A	N.A
TOP 5 Total		57,1%	58,9%	N.A	N.A	N.A

Table 3 : Tick Size Liquidity Band 5 & 6

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	BNP PARIBAS (R0MUWSFPU8MPRO8K5P83)	32.1%	1.8%	N.A	N.A	N.A
2	CITIGROUP (XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493)	9.6%	12.6%	N.A	N.A	N.A
3	EXANE (969500UP76J52A9OXU27)	9.2%	10.6%	N.A	N.A	N.A
4	MORGAN STANLEY (4PQUHN3JPF3BB653)	8.5%	10.7%	N.A	N.A	N.A
5	SOCIETE GENERALE (O2RNE8IBXP4R0TD8PU41)	7.8%	15.1%	N.A	N.A	N.A
TOP 5 Total		67,2%	50,8%	N.A	N.A	N.A

(b) Debt Instruments - i) Fixed Income

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	TradeWeb Europe Ltd (2138001WXZQOPMPA3D50)	26.4%	38.8%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
2	Bloomberg Trading Facility Ltd(BMTF) (549300ROEJDDAXM6LU05)	25.5%	27.4%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
3	Bloomberg Trading Facility BV (BTFE) (254900QBKK4WBSO3GE51)	5.8%	5.7%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
4	JP MORGAN CHASE (7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97)	4.4%	1.1%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
5	BNP PARIBAS (R0MUWSFPU8MPRO8K5P83)	3.4%	0.8%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
TOP 5 Total		65,5%	73,7%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

(b) Debt Instruments - ii) Money Market

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	LA BANQUE POSTALE (96950066U5XAAIRCPA78)	25.1%	4.1%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
2	NATIXIS (KX1WK48MPD4Y2NCUIZ63)	19.5%	11.0%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
3	SOCIETE GENERALE (O2RNE8IBXP4R0TD8PU41)	9.3%	6.0%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
4	CREDIT AGRICOLE GROUP (969500TJ5KRTCJQWXH05)	6.4%	6.0%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
5	BFCM - CIC (VBHFXSYT7OG62HNT8T76)	3.5%	2.1%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
TOP 5 Total		63,7%	29,2%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

(d) Interest-Rates Derivatives

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	CREDIT AGRICOLE GROUP (969500TJ5KRTCJQWXH05)	22.4%	34.7%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
2	SOCIETE GENERALE (O2RNE8IBXP4R0TD8PU41)	15.8%	29.1%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
3	UBS (969500Q2MA9VBQ8BG884)	13.1%	6.2%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
4	BNP PARIBAS (R0MUWSFPU8MPRO8K5P83)	12.0%	6.5%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
5	JP MORGAN CHASE (7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97)	10.7%	7.4%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
TOP 5 Total		74,1%	83,9%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

(e) Currency Derivatives

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	Financial & Risk Transaction Services Ireland Limited - FXall RFQ (635400MQZIPRVPDDZK76)	80.6%	91.6%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
2	SOCIETE GENERALE (O2RNE8IBXP4R0TD8PU41)	2.6%	2.3%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
3	HSBC (MP615ZYZBEU3UXPYFY54)	1.9%	0.3%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
4	Bloomberg Trading Facility Ltd (BMTF) (549300ROEJDDAXM6LU05)	1.9%	0.7%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
5	CREDIT AGRICOLE GROUP (969500TJ5KRTCJQWXH05)	1.9%	1.7%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
TOP 5 Total		89,0%	96,6%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

(g) Equity Derivatives

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	SOCIETE GENERALE (O2RNE8IBXP4R0TD8PU41)	22.5%	42.1%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
2	CREDIT AGRICOLE GROUP (969500TJ5KRTCJQWXH05)	15.0%	21.7%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
3	JP MORGAN CHASE (7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97)	13.6%	3.8%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
4	BOA - MERRILL LYNCH (GGDZP1UYGU9STUHRDP48)	11.1%	2.4%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
5	MORGAN STANLEY (4PQUHN3JPFGNF3BB653)	9.7%	2.8%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
TOP 5 Total		71,8%	72,8%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

(k) Exchange-traded Funds listed (ETF)

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	Tradeweb EU B.V. (724500D4BFEWKWVC1G62)	80.3%	64.6%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
2	Tradeweb Europe Limited (2138001WXZQOPMPA3D50)	11.8%	2.1%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
3	SOCIETE GENERALE (O2RNE8IBXP4R0TD8PU41)	3.3%	22.7%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
4	KEPLER – CHEUVREUX (549300WZUJONCM3K3B32)	1.3%	8.4%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
5	CITIGROUP (XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493)	1.1%	0.7%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
TOP 5 Total		97,9%	98,5%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

(m) Others Instruments (REPO)

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	LA BANQUE POSTALE (96950066U5XAAIRCPA78)	29.1%	13.8%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
2	BNP PARIBAS (ROMUWSFPU8MPRO8K5P83)	17.8%	10.1%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
3	BBVA (K8MS7FD7N5Z2WQ51AZ71)	14.0%	34.2%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
4	HSBC (MP6I5ZYZBEU3UXPYFY54)	8.2%	8.5%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
5	CREDIT AGRICOLE GROUP (969500TJ5KRTCJQWXH05)	7.2%	10.0%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
TOP 5 Total		76,4%	76,5%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

(m) Convertibles Bonds

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year : No						
	Counterparty/LEI Sorted Largest to Smallest	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that asset class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that asset class	Percentage of passive	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
1	TradeWeb Europe Ltd (2138001WXZQOPMPA3D50)	13.5%	42.2%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
2	JP MORGAN CHASE (7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97)	12.2%	9.0%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
3	GOLDMAN SACHS (W22LROWP2IHZNBB6K528)	9.9%	5.3%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
4	UNICREDIT (2ZCNRR8UK83OONEK2170)	9.2%	6.4%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
5	JEFFERIES (S5THZMDUCTQZBTRV198)	9.2%	6.4%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
TOP 5 Total		53,9%	69,2%	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

Section II - Qualitative Assessment (article 3 (3) of Reg. EU 2017/576 - RTS 28):

The quantitative and qualitative factors that may impact the requirement for "best execution" taken into account by the company are detailed in its Selection and Execution Policy and published on its website.

1. Description of any close links, conflicts of interest and joint interests with one or more of the platforms used to execute the orders;

For third-party transmission and order execution services, AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION uses intermediaries, some of which are Crédit Agricole Group entities. However, there are no close links, conflicts of interest or joint interests with one or more of the platforms used by AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION to execute orders.

2. Description of any particular agreement with trading platforms regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION;

AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION have no special agreements regarding payment made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits with the execution venues/counterparties/platforms to which execute/transmit the orders, that would be in violation of the conflict of interest or the incentives requirements of Directive 2014/65 / EU known as "MIFID 2".

3. Explanation of the relative importance the firm has placed on the price, cost, speed and likelihood of performance or any other factor, including quality, in its assessment of the quality of the execution

CLASSIFICATION OF EXECUTION CRITERIA OF ORDERS BY IMPORTANCE							
Instruments	Price	Cost	Speed	Liquidity	Execution Probability	Order size	Order Type
Equities (a)	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
ETF (k)	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
Fixed Income (b)	1	1	1	2	2	1	2

Money Market (b)	1	2	3	3	2	2	0
Equity Derivatives (g)	1	2	1	1	2	1	2
Interest Rate Derivatives (c)	1	2	1	1	2	1	2
Credit Derivatives (d)	1	3	1	2	1	2	2
Currency Derivative (e)	1	2	2	1	2	2	2
REPO (m)	1	2	2	3	3	2	0
Convertible Bonds (m)	1	3	2	1	2	1	2

Notation: 1 = major, 2 significant, 3 to be considered, 0 not applicable.

4. Explanation, if any, of the factors that led to the modification of the list of execution platforms mentioned in the Company's Execution Policy;

AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION adjusted marginally its list of brokers and counterparties in 2020.

5. Explanation of how order execution varies by client category, in the case where the firm deals differently with different categories of clients and where this may affect order execution terms and conditions;

AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION only offers its services to professional clients and offers the same level of protection and service to all its clients.

6. Indication whether other criteria were favoured over the price and immediate costs of executing retail orders, and an explanation of how these other criteria were important in achieving the best possible result in terms of total cost for the client;

AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION may favour on certain illiquid securities the impact of the size of the order, the speed of its execution and the expertise of the financial intermediary to whom the Company transmits its orders.

7. Explanation of how the Investment Company has used data or tools relevant to the quality of execution, including data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/576 from 08/06/2016 to be inserted before publication [RTS 27];

AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION carried out checks to ensure the quality of execution of its brokers. These checks carried out ex-post are intended to correct any failures on the part of counterparties.

8. Explanation of how the Investment Company used material from a consolidated publishing system provider in accordance with Article 65 of Directive 2014/65 / EU.

AMUNDI INTERMEDIATION uses elements from external data providers as part of its controls to ensure the quality of delivery of its counterparties.